

secondary storage at one time, including loading the unit of memory for which the not present interrupt has occurred and all other units of memory used to store the code or data in the group.

REMARKS

Claims 1-10 and 20 remain in the application.

In a phone conference of April 14, 2000, during the prosecution of the parent case (Application number 08/936,596), the Examiner maintained the rejection of claim 1 by arguing that an "application program" could be an operating system. Applicants' representative suggested amending claim 1 to make clear that the application program of claim 1 is not an operating system. The Examiner indicated (without committing to anything) that such an amendment appeared to overcome the rejection. However, after discussing the issue with her supervisor, the Examiner noted that the parent case was already in final and that such an amendment could not be entered as it would require a new search.

Accordingly, Applicants have filed this continuation and amended claim 1 in accordance with the phone conference of April 14, 2000.

Applicants believe the application should now be in condition for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN CAMPBELL
LEIGH & WHINSTON, LLP

By



Robert F. Scotti

Registration No. 39,830

One World Trade Center, Suite 1600
121 S.W. Salmon Street
Portland, Oregon 97204
Telephone: (503) 226-7391
Facsimile: (503) 228-9446

(95600.5)